

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Mere transfer is not a punishment. I am taking Departmental action. I am considering whether enquiries should be made straight away or whether he should be kept under suspension. He is entirely responsible for the maladies that have occurred in that area.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—The Basic Health Workers who have complained about him are under suspension. Kindly take some action on this.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That I will review in the light of the report I have received from the Director who conducted enquiry.

Sri RAJA PID NAIK.—What was the complaint of the Superintendent of Police against the Doctor?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The complaint was that he was not taking any action in spite of the outbreak of the epidemic in that area and in spite of having been informed that the epidemic was spreading.

Misuse of Powers vested with Authorities

664. **Sri P. A. PAWASHE (Uchagaon).**—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

whether it has come to the notice of Government that the powers given to the prescribed authorities (Tahsildars) under Section 8 of the Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961 are being misused in order to favour the landlords by notifying exorbitant average yield?

Sri N. HUTCHAMASTHY GOWDA (Minister for Revenue).—

No.

Sri P. A. PAWASHE.—May I know whether the prescribed authority under the Act has fixed the average yield of the crop in Belgaum Taluk, or not?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಥಾ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಥಾ ಬೆಳೆ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವುಕಡೆ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳು ಇವೆ, ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಅವರೇಡ್ ಕ್ರಾಪ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲ ಅರೀತಿ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri P. A. PAWASHE.—May I know whether the prescribed authorities under the M.L.R. Act are given the powers to decide what particular crops are to be grown in the different areas of the Taluka.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಂದೊಂದು ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದೊಂದು ಬೆಳೆ ಇದೆ. ಯಾವ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಬೆಳೆ ಎಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಲಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಬೆಳೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

SRI P. A. PAWASHE.—I wanted to know whether they have been authorised to decide which are the particular crops in the particular area.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್ ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಎಲ್ಲ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಬೆಳೆ ಇವೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ತಾಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರರೇ ನಿರ್ಧಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅವರೇಜ್ ಯೀರ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾ.—ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎನ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್‌ರವರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ನಂ. 676, ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ಕಕ್ಕಿಲಾಯಾ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಬಹುದು.

Recognition of H.M.T. Unions

676. **SRI B. V. KAKKILAYA** (Bantwal) [On behalf of **SRI V. N. PATIL** (Humnabad)].—

Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there is a dispute regarding the recognition of Unions in the Hindustan Machine Tools between the H.M.T. Employees' Association and the H.M.T. Karmika Sangha ;

(b) whether the above dispute is pending before the Government and, if so, since when ;

(c) whether the H.M.T. Employees' Association has submitted its records for verification and, if so, when ;

(d) whether it is a fact that the H.M.T. Karmika Sangha has not submitted its records for verification as required ;

(e) whether there are complaints of violation of the Code of Discipline by the H.M.T. Karmika Sangha ;

(f) the action, if any, the Government has taken in the matter of the dispute regarding the recognition of the Union and in the matter of the complaint regarding violation of the code of discipline ?

SRI AZEEZ SAIT (Minister for Labour).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) Yes. In the year 1968.

(d) Yes.

(e) Yes. The H.M.T. Employees' Association has made a complaint on 21st December 1971 regarding the violation of Code of Discipline by the H.M.T. Karmika Sangha.

(f) The State Industrial Relations Implementation and Evaluation of machinery is seized of the question of recognition and complaints. Decision to this effect by the said machinery is awaited.